Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

ESPS Manuscript NO.: 29368

Mnuscript Type: Prospective Study

Shear wave elastography in hepatitis C patients before and after antiviral therapy

Authors: Toshikuni Suda, Osamu Okawa, Rion Masaoka, Yoshinori Gyotoku, Naohiko Tokutomi, Yasumi Katayama and Masaya Tamano

Author Contributions: Tamano M designed the research; Suda T, Okawa O, Masaoka R, Gyoutoku Y, Tokutomi N performed the research; Suda T and Katayama Y analyzed the data; and Suda T and Tamano M wrote the paper.

Supportive Foundations: None.

Institutional review board statement: This prospective study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Dokkyo Medical University Koshigaya Hospital in Japan.

Informed consent statement: Written, informed consent was obtained from all participants and healthy volunteers in this study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest with regard to this research.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers.

Correspondence to: Masaya Tamano, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Dokkyo Medical University Koshigaya Hospital, 2-1-50 Minami-Koshigaya, Koshigaya-shi, Saitama 343-8555, Japan. mstamano@dokkyomed.ac.jp

Telephone: +81-48-965-1111

Fax: +81-48-965-1169

Abstract

AIM: To investigate shear wave (SW) propagation velocity in patients with untreated hepatitis C and patients with sustained virological response (SVR).

METHODS: A total of 136 hepatitis C patients (85 patients who had not received antiviral therapy (naïve group) and 51 patients who had received antiviral therapy and subsequently achieved SVR of at least 24 weeks (SVR group)) and 58 healthy volunteers and outpatients without liver disease (control group) underwent evaluation of liver stiffness by SW elastography (SWE). Various parameters were evaluated in the chronic hepatitis C patients at the time of SWE.

RESULTS: SW propagation velocity (Vs) was 1.23±0.14 m/s in the control group, 1.56±0.32 m/s in the SVR group, and 1.69±0.31 m/s in the naïve group. Significant differences were seen between the control group and the SVR group (p=0.0000) and between the SVR group and the naïve group (p=0.01417). All four fibrosis markers were higher in the naïve group than in the SVR group. In the naïve group, Vs was positively correlated with alanine aminotransferase

(ALT) (r=0.5372), a feto protein (AFP) (r=0.4389), type IV collagen (r=0.5883), procollagen III peptide (P-III-P) (r=0.4140), hyaluronic acid (r=0.4551), and Mac-2 binding protein glycosylation isomer (M2BPGi) (r=0.6092) and negatively correlated with albumin (r=-0.4289), platelets (r=-0.5372), and prothrombin activity (r=-0.5235). On multiple regression analysis, Vs was the most strongly correlated with ALT (standard partial regression std β =0.4039, p=0.00000). In the SVR group, Vs was positively correlated with AFP (r=0.6977), type IV collagen (r=0.5228), P-III-P (r=0.5812), hyaluronic acid (r=0.5189), and M2BPGi (r=0.6251) and negatively correlated with albumin (r=-0.4283), platelets (r=-0.4842), and prothrombin activity (r=-0.4771). On multiple regression analysis, Vs was strongly correlated with AFP (standard partial regression std β =0.5953, p=0.00000) and M2BPGi (standard partial regression std β =0.2969, p=0.03363).

CONCLUSION: In hepatitis C patients, liver stiffness is higher in treatment-naïve patients than in those showing SVR. SWE may be a predictor of hepatocarcinogenesis in SVR patients. **Key words:** Antiviral therapy; Hepatitis C; Hepatocarcinogenesis; Sustained virological response; Shear wave elastography

©The Author(s) 2016. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: This study is the first to compare liver stiffness in a group of hepatitis C patients in whom the virus was eliminated with antiviral therapy and a group of untreated hepatitis C patients using shear wave elastography. The liver stiffness value was higher in the untreated group than in the group in which the virus had been eliminated, which is thought to be due hepatitis activity. This study also suggests the possibility that liver stiffness measurements with shear wave elastography can be used as predictors of hepatocarcinogenesis in patients in whom the virus has been eliminated.

Suda T, Okawa O, Masaoka R, Gyotoku Y, Tokutomi N, Tamano M. Shear wave elastography in hepatitis C: Comparison of patients before antiviral therapy and patients with sustained virological response. World J Hepatology 2016; In press.

INTRODUCTION

Shear wave elastography (SWE) is a new technology that gauges liver stiffness by measuring the propagation velocity of shear waves generated in liver tissue. At the same time, images are observed in real time using a normal B-mode ultrasound probe. The velocity of laterally propagated shear waves (lateral waves) is measured. SWE is useful for a diagnosis of breast tumor^[1], thyriod tumor^[2], muscle stiffness^[3] as well as liver stiffness. SWE resembles acoustic radiation force impulse^[4], but it is new another technology,

Liver stiffness measurements with SWE are reported to be useful in diagnosing fibrosis in hepatitis C^[5]. In studies using transient elastography, liver stiffness was affected not only by liver fibrosis but also by necroinflammatory activity^[6, 7]. Therefore, the meaning of liver stiffness is predicted to differ in untreated patients with hepatitis activity and patients whose hepatitis has subsided with antiviral therapy.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the significance of SW propagation velocity in patients with untreated hepatitis C and patients with sustained virological response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This prospective study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Dokkyo Medical University Koshigaya Hospital, and written, informed consent was obtained from all participants and healthy volunteers. This study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the 2008 Declaration of Helsinki.

The subjects were 136 chronic hepatitis C patients who were diagnosed in the Department of Gastroenterology of Dokkyo Medical University Koshigaya Hospital from April to October, 2015. The 136 patients included 85 patients in a naïve group who had not received antiviral therapy and 51 patients who had received antiviral therapy and 51 patients who had received antiviral therapy, either interferon-based therapy or direct-acting antiviral agent therapy (daclatasvir/asunaprevir), and subsequently achieved sustained virological response (SVR) of at least 24 weeks (SVR group). Patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, autoimmune disease, collagen disease, or chronic heart disease were excluded. Patients with a history of drinking \geq 20 g alcohol per day and those diagnosed with obvious fatty liver on abdominal ultrasound were also excluded.

To obtain a standard liver stiffness value, SWE was performed in a total of 58

people including healthy volunteers and outpatients without liver disease (control group).

Measurement of shear wave elastography

Measurement of liver stiffness by shear wave elastography was performed using a LOGIQ E9 (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI). The right lobe of the liver was visualized through an intercostal space while the patient was lying in a supine position with the right arm in maximum abduction. Measurements were taken while subjects held their breath during spontaneous breathing. The visual depth of the system was fixed at 8 cm, and the region of interest (ROI) was 1-2 cm below the surface of the liver. The system was adjusted so that sample volume depth (SVD) was 4 cm or less. Liver stiffness was automatically calculated by the apparatus, and the results are expressed as the velocity of shear wave (Vs (m/s)). Measurements were performed by two investigators (Suda T and Tamano M) who have measurement experience of SWE more than 100 patients. They shot 10 to12 times on liver segment 5, and the result was considered reliable only when 10 successful shots and a measurement success rate >80% were obtained.

Clinical parameters

The following clinical parameters were determined in chronic hepatitis C patients at the time SWE was performed: age; aspartate aminotransferase (AST); alanine aminotransferase (ALT); total bilirubin, serum albumin; white blood cell (WBC) count; platelet count; prothrombin activity; α fetoprotein (AFP); hyaluronic acid; type IV collagen; procollagen III peptide (P-III-P); Mac-2 binding protein glycosylation isomer (M2BPGi) measurements; and the Fib-4 Index.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data for Vs and other clinical parameters are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD). The Mann-Whitney U test was used for between-group comparisons. Correlations between Vs and other parameters were assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and multiple regression analysis. Values of p<0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Figure 1 shows Vs (m/s) measured by SWE in each group. Vs was 1.23 ± 0.14 m/s in the control group, 1.56 ± 0.32 m/s in the SVR group, and 1.69 ± 0.31 m/s

in the naïve group. Significant differences were seen between the control group and the SVR group (p=0.0000) and between the SVR group and the naïve group (p=0.01417).

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the naïve group and the SVR group. Compared with the SVR group, the naïve group had significantly higher AST and ALT values (p=0.00001) and a significantly lower serum albumin value (p=0.01049). No significant differences were seen between the two groups in total bilirubin, WBC, platelet count, or prothrombin activity. AFP was significantly higher in the naïve group than in the SVR group (p=0.00773). All four fibrosis markers were higher in the naïve group than in the SVR group. No significant differences were seen in type IV collagen or hyaluronic acid, but significant differences were seen in P-III-P (p=0.00215) and M2BPGi (p=0.00546). The FIB-4 index tended to be higher in the naïve group than in the SVR group, but the difference was not significant (p=0.37443).

Correlation between Vs and each parameter in the na"ve group (n = 85)

Vs was positively correlated with ALT (r=0.5372), AFP (r=0.4389), type IV collagen (r=0.5883), P-III-P (r=0.4140), hyaluronic acid (r=0.4551), and M2BPGi (r=0.6092). Vs was negatively correlated with albumin (r=-0.4289), platelets (r=-0.5372), and prothrombin activity (r=-0.5235). A multiple regression analysis

was performed with the five parameters of ALT, platelets, prothrombin activity, type IV collagen, and M2BPGi that had correlation coefficients (r) \geq 0.5, and the results showed that Vs was the most strongly correlated with ALT in the naïve group (standard partial regression std β =0.4039, p=0.00000) (Table 2).

Correlation between Vs and each parameter in the SVR group (n = 51)

Vs was positively correlated with AFP (r=0.6977), type IV collagen (r=0.5228), P-III-P (r=0.5812), hyaluronic acid (r=0.5189), and M2BPGi (r=0.6251). Vs was negatively correlated with albumin (r=-0.4283), platelets (r=-0.4842), and prothrombin activity (r=-0.4771). A multiple regression analysis was performed with the five parameters of AFP, type IV collagen, P-III-P, hyaluronic acid, and M2BPGi that had correlation coefficients (r) ≥0.5, and the results showed that Vs was strongly correlated with two parameters, AFP (standard partial regression std β =0.5953, p=0.00000) and M2BPGi (standard partial regression std β =0.2969, p=0.03363) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The extent of hepatic fibrosis has classically been evaluated by histological procedures. However, the accuracy of this evaluation of hepatic fibrosis is limited by both sampling variability and inter-observer variability between pathologists^[8, 9]. In addition, liver biopsy is associated with patient discomfort and a risk of serious complications^[10].

Transient elastography (TE) has attracted attention as a noninvasive, objective diagnostic tool, and liver stiffness measured by TE is reported to be useful in diagnosing fibrosis in hepatitis C^[11]. TE is useful in diagnosing non-alcoholic fatty liver disease^[12] and in predicting carcinoma development in viral hepatitis patients^[13]. However, TE is a test that is done blindly using a special probe in the right hepatic lobe confirmed with B mode, as a result of which measurement results are imprecise if vessels or other structures are present in the measured region. SWE is built into ultrasonic diagnostic equipment, and reliable measurements are possible in a short time under observation with normal B mode^[14].

The results of SWE measurements are expressed as the SW propagation velocity Vs (m/s). In this investigation, the Vs was 1.23 ± 0.14 m/s in healthy livers, 1.69 ± 0.31 m/s in the naïve group, and 1.56 ± 0.32 m/s in the SVR group. The naïve group had a significantly higher Vs than the SVR group, suggesting that Vs decreases with virus elimination in hepatitis C patients. In this study, however, the naïve group and SVR group were different populations, and Vs measurements over time in the same population will be needed to accurately compare Vs before and after treatment.

Vs is determined not only by tissue elasticity (fibrosis), but it is also affected by viscosity. Thus, in cases of active hepatitis, propagation is expected to become faster due to increased tissue viscosity from increased exudate into the interstitium and cell infiltration, and in acute hepatitis that trend is marked^[15, 16]. In the naïve group, Vs was most strongly correlated with ALT. This is thought to be because the naïve group included many patients with active hepatitis with high ALT levels. Good positive correlations were seen between Vs and the liver fibrosis markers of hyaluronic acid, type IV collagen, P-III-P, and M2BPGi in the naïve group. Thus, in the naïve group, Vs is thought to reflect both hepatic activity (viscosity) and fibrosis (elasticity).

In the SVR group, hepatitis had subsided for six months or more, and, in fact, the correlation between Vs and ALT in the SVR group in this study was very low. Therefore, Vs in the SVR group is presumed to almost purely reflect liver fibrosis (elasticity), and thus it is thought to have better correlations with fibrosis markers. Among the four different fibrosis markers, M2BPGi had the strongest positive correlation with Vs. M2BPGi is a new liver fibrosis marker that quantitatively measures changes in the carbohydrate structure of Mac-2 binding protein^[17], and it is also considered useful in predicting carcinogenesis in hepatitis C patients^[18-20].

In hepatitis C patients, AFP is a useful indicator of hepatocarcinogenesis following interferon therapy^[21]. Although AFP had positive correlations in both the naïve group and the SVR group, a stronger correlation was seen in the SVR group. In the naïve group, AFP reflects inflammation and necrosis of hepatocytes and the accompanying hepatocyte regeneration. In the SVR group, on the other hand, AFP has a strong element as a surrogate marker of hepatocellular carcinoma, as mentioned previously. A very interesting finding in the SVR group in the present study was the strong correlations between Vs and AFP and between Vs and M2BPGi, which suggest the possibility that liver stiffness measurements with SWE may be used as predictors of hepatocarcinogenesis in hepatitis C patients following SVR.

CONCLUSIONS

In hepatitis C patients, liver stiffness with SWE was higher in the naïve group than in the SVR group, presumably due to hepatitis activity. In the SVR group, liver stiffness measurements with SWE may be a predictor of hepatocarcinogenesis.

REFERENCES

1 Zhang Q, Xiao Y, Dai W, Suo J, Wang C, Shi J, Zheng H. Deep learning based classification of breast tumors with shear-wave elastography. *Ultrasonics* 2016; **72**: 150-157 [PMID: 27529139 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultras.2016.08.004]

2 Gangadhar K, Hippe DS, Thiel J, Dighe M. Impact of Image Orientation on Measurements of Thyroid Nodule Stiffness Using Shear Wave Elastography. *J Ultrasound Med* 2016; **35**(8): 1661-1667 [PMID: 27335441 DOI: 10.7863/ultra.15.10016]

3 Du LJ, He W, Cheng LG, Li S, Pan YS, Gao J. Ultrasound shear wave elastography in assessment of muscle stiffness in patients with Parkinson's disease: a primary observation. *Clinical imaging* 2016; **40**(6): 1075-1080 [PMID: 27408992 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2016.05.008]

4 Osakabe K, Ichino N, Nishikawa T, Sugiyama H, Kato M, Shibata A, Asada W, Kawabe N, Hashimoto S, Murao M, Nakano T, Shimazaki H, Kan T, Nakaoka K, Takagawa Y, Ohki M, Kurashita T, Takamura T, Yoshioka K. Changes of shear-wave velocity by interferon-based therapy in chronic hepatitis C. World J Gastroenterol 2015; **21**(35): 10215-10223 [PMID: 26401087 PMCID: PMC4572803 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i35.10215]

5 Tada T, Kumada T, Toyoda H, Ito T, Sone Y, Okuda S, Tsuji N, Imayoshi Y, Yasuda E. Utility of real-time shear wave elastography for assessing liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection without cirrhosis: Comparison of liver fibrosis indices. *Hepatol Res* 2015; **45**(10): E122-129 [PMID: 25580959 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12476]

6 Lupsor M, Badea R, Stefanescu H, Grigorescu M, Sparchez Z, Serban A, Branda H, Iancu S, Maniu A. Analysis of histopathological changes that influence liver stiffness in chronic hepatitis C. Results from a cohort of 324 patients. *Journal of gastrointestinal and liver diseases : JGLD* 2008; **17**(2): 155-163 [PMID: 18568136]

Coco B, Oliveri F, Maina AM, Ciccorossi P, Sacco R, Colombatto P,
Bonino F, Brunetto MR. Transient elastography: a new surrogate marker of
liver fibrosis influenced by major changes of transaminases. *Journal of viral hepatitis* 2007; 14(5): 360-369 [PMID: 17439526 DOI:
10.1111/j.1365-2893.2006.00811.x]

8 Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, Milikowski C, Molina EG, Pyrsopoulos

NT, Feng ZZ, Reddy KR, Schiff ER. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCV infection. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97(10): 2614-2618 [PMID: 12385448 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.06038.x [doi]]

Bedossa P, Dargere D, Paradis V. Sampling variability of liver
fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2003; 38(6): 1449-1457 [PMID:
14647056 DOI: 10.1016/j.hep.2003.09.022 [doi]

S0270913903009844 [pii]]

10 Piccinino F, Sagnelli E, Pasquale G, Giusti G. Complications following percutaneous liver biopsy. A multicentre retrospective study on 68,276 biopsies. *J Hepatol* 1986; **2**(2): 165-173 [PMID: 3958472]

11 Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, Yon S, Fournier C, Mal F, Christidis C, Ziol M, Poulet B, Kazemi F, Beaugrand M, Palau R. Transient elastography: a new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology* 2003; **29**(12): 1705-1713 [PMID: 14698338]

12 Yoneda M, Fujita K, Inamori M, Tamano M, Hiriishi H, Nakajima A. Transient elastography in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Gut* 2007; **56**(9): 1330-1331 [PMID: 17470477 DOI:

gut.2007.126417 [pii]

10.1136/gut.2007.126417 [doi]]

13 Akima T, Tamano M, Hiraishi H. Liver stiffness measured by transient elastography is a predictor of hepatocellular carcinoma development in viral hepatitis. *Hepatology Res* 2011; **41**: 965-970

Ferraioli G, Parekh P, Levitov AB, Filice C. Shear wave elastography
for evaluation of liver fibrosis. J Ultrasound Med 2014; 33(2): 197-203
[PMID: 24449721 DOI: 10.7863/ultra.33.2.197]

15 Kim SU, Han KH, Park JY, Ahn SH, Chung MJ, Chon CY, Choi EH, Kim do Y. Liver stiffness measurement using FibroScan is influenced by serum total bilirubin in acute hepatitis. *Liver international : official journal* of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 2009; **29**(6): 810-815 [PMID: 19018979 DOI: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008.01894.x]

16 Arena U, Vizzutti F, Corti G, Ambu S, Stasi C, Bresci S, Moscarella S, Boddi V, Petrarca A, Laffi G, Marra F, Pinzani M. Acute viral hepatitis increases liver stiffness values measured by transient elastography. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)* 2008; **47**(2): 380-384 [PMID: 18095306 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22007] 17Kuno A, Ikehara Y, Tanaka Y, Ito K, Matsuda A, Sekiya S, Hige S, Sakamoto М, Kage Μ, Mizokami М, Narimatsu H. А serum "sweet-doughnut" protein facilitates fibrosis evaluation and therapy assessment in patients with viral hepatitis. Scientific reports 2013; 3: 1065 [PMID: 23323209 PMCID: Pmc3545220 DOI: 10.1038/srep01065]

18 Tamaki N, Kurosaki M, Kuno A, Korenaga M, Togayachi A, Gotoh M, Nakakuki N, Takada H, Matsuda S, Hattori N, Yasui Y, Suzuki S, Hosokawa T, Tsuchiya K, Nakanishi H, Itakura J, Takahashi Y, Mizokami M, Narimatsu H, Izumi N. Wisteria floribunda agglutinin positive human Mac-2-binding protein as a predictor of hepatocellular carcinoma development in chronic hepatitis C patients. *Hepatol Res* 2015 [PMID: 25559682 DOI: 10.1111/hepr.12466]

19 Sasaki R, Yamasaki K, Abiru S, Komori A, Nagaoka S, Saeki A, Hashimoto S, Bekki S, Kugiyama Y, Kuno A, Korenaga M, Togayachi A, Ocho M, Mizokami M, Narimatsu H, Ichikawa T, Nakao K, Yatsuhashi H. Serum Wisteria Floribunda Agglutinin-Positive Mac-2 Binding Protein Values Predict the Development of Hepatocellular Carcinoma among Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C after Sustained Virological Response. *PloS one* 2015; **10**(6): e0129053 [PMID: 26070204 PMCID: Pmc4466558 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129053]

20 Yamasaki K, Tateyama M, Abiru S, Komori A, Nagaoka S, Saeki A, Hashimoto S, Sasaki R, Bekki S, Kugiyama Y, Miyazoe Y, Kuno A, Korenaga M, Togayachi A, Ocho M, Mizokami M, Narimatsu H, Yatsuhashi H. Elevated serum levels of Wisteria floribunda agglutinin-positive human Mac-2 binding protein predict the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis C patients. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)* 2014; **60**(5): 1563-1570 [PMID: 25042054 PMCID: Pmc4278450 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27305]

21 Asahina Y, Tsuchiya K, Nishimura T, Muraoka M, Suzuki Y, Tamaki N, Yasui Y, Hosokawa T, Ueda K, Nakanishi H, Itakura J, Takahashi Y, Kurosaki M, Enomoto N, Nakagawa M, Kakinuma S, Watanabe M, Izumi N. alpha-fetoprotein levels after interferon therapy and risk of hepatocarcinogenesis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology (Baltimore, Md)* 2013; **58**(4): 1253-1262 [PMID: 23564522 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26442]

Characteristic	Naïve group	SVR group	Develope	
Characteristic	(n=85)	(n=51)	r-value	
Age (years)	63.5±13.7	64.9±10.2	0.9726	
Sex (male/female)	43/42	27/24	0.79039	
AST (IU/L)	55.4±38.7	29.2±16.8	0.00001	
ALT (IU/L)	61.9±50.1	23.9±18.0	0.00001	
Total bilirubin	0.0010.27		01470(
(mg/dL)	0.89±0.36	0.95±0.55	0.14736	
Serum albumin	4 10 10 46	4 22 10 44	0.01040	
(g/dL)	4.10±0.46	4.32±0.44	0.01049	
WBC (×10 ³ /mm ³)	5.21±1.83	5.24±1.56	0.79015	
Hb (g/dL)	13.9±1.6	14.1±1.5	0.47879	
Platelets (×10 ⁴ /mm ³)	14.5±6.4	14.5±5.7	0.89078	
Prothrombin activity	07 5±10 1	06 0±12 1	0 09241	
(%)	97.5±12.1	90.9±13.1	0.96341	
AFP (g/dL)	9.6±10.6	5.1±3.3	0.00773	
Type IV collagen	180 5+71 8	170 2 +71 6	0 62012	
(ng/ml)	100.3±71.0	179.2±71.0	0.02913	
P-III-P (U/ml)	0.92±0.27	0.81±0.31	0.00215	
Hyaluronic acid	101 5±200 2	102 1+02 5	0 24008	
(ng/ml)	191.J±290.3	102.1±93.5	0.24700	
M2BPGi (C.O.I)	3.67±4.41	2.03±2.49	0.00546	
FIB-4 index	4.44±4.21	3.39±2.63	0.37443	

Table 1 Clinical characteristics in the naïve and SVR groups

AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase;

WBC, white blood cells; P-III-P, Procollagen III peptide; M2BP,

Mac-2 binding protein; C.O.I, Cut-off index

	Coefficient	$SE(\beta)$	stdβ	<i>t</i> -value	df	Р
	(β)					
ALT	0.00261	0.00052	0.4069	5.02998	62	0.00000
Plt	-0.0121	0.00436	-0.27300	0.27300	62	0.00740
РТ %	-0.0044	0.00245	-0.1712	1.79923	62	0.07685
Type IV	0.00061	0.00040	0.1578	1.51030	62	0.13605
Collagen						
M2BPGi	0.00844	0.00709	0.1361	1.19098	62	0.23820

Table 2 Multiple regression analysis in the naïve group

	Coefficient	$SE(\beta)$	stdβ	<i>t</i> -value	df	Р
	(β)					
AFP	0.05564	0.0098	0.5953	5.6797	28	0.00000
Type IV	-0.0003	0.00066	-0.0626	0.42483	28	0.67420
Collagen						
P-III-P	0.13859	0.17013	0.146	0.81462	28	0.42217
Hyaluronic	0.00053	0.00053	0.1658	0.99713	28	0.32724
acid						
M2BPGi	0.03554	0.01591	0.2969	2.23421	28	0.03363

Table 3 Multiple regression analysis in the SVR group

Figure 1



Figure Legends

Figure 1: Vs based on SWE is shown for each group. Vs is 1.23 ± 0.14 m/s in the control group, 1.56 ± 0.32 m/s in the SVR group, and 1.69 ± 0.31 m/s in the naïve group. Significant differences are seen between the control group and the SVR group (p=0.0000) and between the SVR group and the naïve group (p=0.01417).